By the time this review appears in a scholarly Canadian publication it cannot be a sales factor of any consequence for Bantam. The author is a professor of classics and one of the best JFK assassination amateur experts. Where he writes of uncredited primary sources he really means cloaked literary thievery, what your people probably believe is paranoia. I am hardly into the book because it isn't worth the time required by reading. When I get more of it read I may be tempted. I have enough now to make a case that, with Lemkin's record in the Ray hearing, could embarrass Bantam. Why they are impelled to this kind of rotten stuff where the government is involved I do not know. On balance Anson= disinformation, more because of what Bantam is in a position to do. Whether or not this did more than contribute to it at a crucial time.

HW ~~12x~~ 4/12/76